United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 19-40838 Conference Calendar United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

October 2, 2020

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

United States of America,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

DANIEL MENDOZA,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas USDC No. 4:18-CR-188-1

Before KING, SOUTHWICK, and Ho, Circuit Judges.

JUDGMENT

This cause was considered on the record on appeal and the briefs on file.

IT IS ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the appeal is DISMISSED as frivolous.

Cartified as a true convenience

Certified as a true copy and issued as the mandate on Oct 27, 2020

Attest: Jule W. Cayaa Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 19-40838 Conference Calendar United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED
October 2, 2020

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

United States of America,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

DANIEL MENDOZA,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas USDC No. 4:18-CR-188-1

Before KING, SOUTHWICK, and Ho, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Daniel Mendoza has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Mendoza has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to

^{*} Pursuant to 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5.4.

No. 19-40838

allow us to make a fair evaluation of Mendoza's claims of ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore decline to consider the claims without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Mendoza's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

United States Court of Appeals

FIFTH CIRCUIT OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE CLERK

TEL, 504-310-7700 600 S. MAESTRI PLACE, Suite 115 NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

October 27, 2020

Mr. David O'Toole Eastern District of Texas, Sherman 101 E. Pecan Street Federal Building Room 216 Sherman, TX 75090-0000

No. 19-40838 USA v. Daniel Mendoza USDC No. 4:18-CR-188-1

Dear Mr. O'Toole,

Enclosed is a copy of the judgment issued as the mandate and a copy of the court's opinion.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk

By:

Shawn D. Henderson, Deputy Clerk

504-310-7668

cc:

Mr. Ernest Gonzalez

Mr. Daniel Mendoza Mr. Bradley Elliot Visosky